

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,007	10/10/2000	Donald J. Palmer	10006545-1 4378		
7590 04/20/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER TRAN, LAMBERT L		
	O 80527-2400	÷	2144		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/686,	,007	PALMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
	Lamber		2144	
The MAILING DATE of this comp Period for Reply	munication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the proving after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If the period for reply specified above is less than the  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the sium statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a nths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condictored in accordance with the present of the present of</li></ul>	2b)☐ This action is tion for allowance excep	non-final. pt for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the same state of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected the same subject to results.	is/are withdrawn from o			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to b 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 Octob  Applicant may not request that any  Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	ner 2000 is/are: a)⊠ accobjection to the drawing(s) adding the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. See uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) All b) Some * c) None of a classification of the price of the price of the price of the price of the corporation from the Internation of the attached detailed Office at the corporation of the certification from the Internation of the certification of the certification of the certification of the Internation of the attached detailed Office at the certification of the certification	of: prity documents have be prity documents have be pies of the priority docum national Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revies     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/686,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 2144

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

- 1. Amendment A, filed on 04 February 2004, has been entered as paper No. 4.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 11, 14-16, 20 are amended. Claims 21-22 are added.
- 3. Claims 1-22 remain pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leiman et al., U.S. Patent No 6,469,796, hereinafter referred to as Leiman, in view of Motoyama et al., U.S. Patent No 6,631,247, hereinafter referred to as Motoyama.
- 6. In regard to claims 1, 8, Leiman disclosed:

  defining a print managing system controller (GUI open print server) having the print services

  provided by the print provider (output manager and printers) registered therewith [see Leiman,

  ABSTRACT, col. 1, lines 61-67, col. 2, lines 1-2, figure 2 and figure 3];

  defining a network communication link between the print provider and the print managing

  system controller [see Leiman, col. 1, lines 61-67, col. 2, lines 1-2, and figure 2].
- 7. However, Leiman did not expressly disclose:

Art Unit: 2144

collecting, analyzing, and reporting the statistics of the print services.

8. In the same field of communicating with printing machines connected to a network [see Motoyama, ABSTRACT], Motoyama disclosed:

collecting data related to the print services provided by the print provider (network printer) with the print managing system controller (service center) [see Motoyama, col. 22, lines 10-19, col. 2 lines 26-30]; and

analyzing (create informational reports regarding status) the print service data including generating statistics of the print services with the print managing system controller using the print services data; and

reporting the statistics of the print services (informational report) via the network communication link (transmitted over the Internet) [see Motoyama, col. 21, lines 44-64, col. 22, lines 40-47]. An ordinary artisan in the art at the same time the invention was made, would have been motivated to look to a way to enhance the communication and feedback between the print provider and the print managing system controller for better servicing the system as well as for planning purpose because it takes approximately twenty minutes to an hour to reconfigure the mainframes and switch of network print server system [see Leiman, col. 1, lines 38-45].

9. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the distributed print server art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Leiman teachings with the teachings of Motoyama's, for the purpose of providing a system that is complete and responsive, for example, urgent information about a resource or a device is transmitted to the resource manager and it then can initiate corrective action to resolve the problem [see Motoyama, col. 3, lines 4-7].

Art Unit: 2144

- 10. For the rationale set forth above, claims 1, 8 are rejected.
- 11. In regard to claim 9, Leiman disclosed: the printing managing system controller is configured to communicate with the print provider via a network [see Leiman, figures 2-3, and 5].
- 12. In regard to claims 2, 10, Leiman disclosed: the network communication link includes defining an Internet communication link between the print provider and the print managing system controller [see Leiman, col. 3, lines 49-52, and figure 2, see Motoyama, figure 12].
- 13. In regard to claims 3, 11-13, 17-18, the combination inventions Leiman and Motoyama disclosed: cost data, production data, operating cost, revenue, and profitability, consumption and productivity (job accounting files, job accounting records, other information) [see Leiman, col. 5, lines 28-33, see Motoyama, col. 21, lines 44-46].
- In regard to claims 4, 14, the combination inventions Leiman and Motoyama disclosed: generate statistics, report the statistics (report queue, resources display window, copies per job per machine) [see Leiman, col. 8, lines 26-41, see Motoyama, col. 22, lines 9-21, and figure 27].
- 15. In regard to claims 5-7, 15-16, 20, the combination inventions Leiman and Motoyama disclosed: *job ticket, cost data, statistics of the job ticket, report statistics via network link* (ticket, report ticket, unique identifier) [see Leiman, col. 8, lines 46-49, see Motoyama, col. 6, lines 41-43, and col. 22, lines 9-47].
- 16. In regard to claim 19, the combination inventions Leiman and Motoyama disclosed: customer identification and print job attributes (user name, account name, header information) [see Leiman, col. 5, lines 1-4, see Motoyama, col. 19, lines 25-26, and lines 41-43].

Art Unit: 2144

col. 22, lines 20-48].

17. In regard to claims 21-22, the combination inventions Leiman and Motoyama disclosed: collecting cost and consumables requirement of the print services (report to allow company to monitor machines, maintaining information and statistic about the machines) [see Motoyama,

Page 5

18. Since all the claims limitations are taught by the combination inventions of Leiman and Motoyama, claims 1-22 are rejected.

## Response to Arguments

- 19. Applicant's arguments filed on 04 February 2004 (Paper No. 4) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 20. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.
- Applicant merely submitted (see Amendment A, Page 8, last paragraph) that the prior arts of record do not teach or suggest the claims limitations is not a valid argument, since such assertions are not pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions, nor do they discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

Art Unit: 2144

currently claimed.

22. The rejection of claims 1-2, 8-10, using Klatt et al. (U.S. Patent No 6,415,277) in view of Lynch et al. (U.S. Patent No 6,581,097) has been withdrawn in favor of Leiman and Motoyama. Examiner still contends that these references were valid prior arts at the time the invention was made and contains a great many features in common with the claimed invention. Examiner reserves the right to reapply these teaching to any/all of the claims, reopen prosecution if necessary, and/or combine the teachings with other prior art(s) to result in the invention as

#### Conclusion

- 23. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lambert L. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F at 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack B Harvey can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L.L.T Assistant Examiner **GAU 2144** April 19, 2004

SAZON CARDONS
PROMARY GXAMENER
AU:2142